


3

GENERAL ELECTION
County of Sacramento
November 6, 1984

JUDICIAL

Judge of the Superior Court, Office No. 3**Vote for One**

SHELDON H. GROSSFELD
Judge of the Superior Court

55 

Judge of the Municipal Court, Office No. 2**Vote for One**

KENNETH L. HAKE
Supervising District Attorney

59 

SAM JACKSON
Deputy City Attorney

60 

2

GENERAL ELECTION
County of Sacramento
November 6, 1984

STATE SENATOR

District 1		Vote for One
JACK C. HORNSBY, Democratic Retired Aerospace Engineer		29 ➡
RAY JOHNSON, Independent Incumbent		30 ➡
ROBERT M. MURPHY, Libertarian Physician		31 ➡
JOHN DOOLITTLE, Republican California State Senator		32 ➡

MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY

District 5		Vote for One
JEAN M. MOORHEAD, Democratic Assemblywoman, Nurse, Educator		46 ➡
CHARLES L. CARR, Libertarian Contractor		47 ➡
TIM LESLIE, Republican Independent Businessman		48 ➡

34201-01,04

1

GENERAL ELECTION
County of Sacramento
November 6, 1984

PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT

		Vote for One Party
DAVID BERGLAND	for President Libertarian	3 ➡
JAMES A. LEWIS	for Vice President	
BOB RICHARDS	for President American Independent	5 ➡
MAUREEN KENNEDY SALAMAN	for Vice President	
RONALD REAGAN	for President Republican	7 ➡
GEORGE BUSH	for Vice President	
SONIA JOHNSON	for President Peace and Freedom	9 ➡
EMMA WONG MAR	for Vice President	
WALTER F. MONDALE	for President Democratic	11 ➡
GERALDINE A. FERRARO	for Vice President	

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE

District 4		Vote for One
VIC FAZIO, Democratic Representative in Congress, 4th District		20 ➡
ROGER CONANT POPE, Libertarian Financial Investor		21 ➡
ROGER CANFIELD, Republican Police Administrator/Consultant		22 ➡

34102-04.05.06.07.08.09.10.11

GENERAL ELECTION
County of Sacramento
November 6, 1984

MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS

STATE

PUBLIC AID, MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS. Creates Public Assistance Commission. Limits benefit expenditures to national average plus 10%. Legislative amendments permitted. Fiscal Impact: Net effect would be combined state and county expenditure reduction beginning July 1, 1986. Size of reduction and impact at different levels of government impossible to determine. Substantial reductions under specified programs would be partially offset to an unknown extent by increased costs under other programs and reduced tax revenues resulting from reduced federal expenditures within the state. Likely, state expenditures would be reduced and county expenditures increased.

YES	161 ➡
NO	162 ➡

COUNTY

PROPOSED COUNTY CHARTER AMENDMENT

MEASURE A

Shall Section 71-D be amended and Section 71-J be added to Article XVI of the Charter of the County of Sacramento to authorize the establishment of a Career Executive Service without civil service coverage or status.

YES	169 ➡
NO	170 ➡

6

GENERAL ELECTION
 County of Sacramento
 November 6, 1984

MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE OF VOTERS**STATE**

There is no Proposition 35 in this election.

36 **TAXATION.** Amends Proposition 13 adding restrictions on real property taxation, new tax measures, and charging fees. Provides specified tax refunds. Fiscal Impact: State revenues reduced at least \$100 million, net, over first two-year period. State costs increased up to \$750 million over first two-year period and by about \$150 million annually in subsequent years to replace revenue losses to schools. Local agencies other than schools property tax and other revenue losses of about \$2.8 billion, net, over first two-year period and of about \$1.1 billion annually in subsequent years.

YES	137	➡
NO	138	➡

37 **STATE LOTTERY.** Establishes state-operated lottery. Revenue use: prizes, 50%; expenses, not more than 16%; public education, at least 34%. Fiscal Impact: Cannot be predicted with certainty. Estimated yield for public education would be about \$500 million annually, with less the first two years. Estimated division: K-12, 80%; Community Colleges, 13%; California State University, 5%; University of California, 2%.

YES	141	➡
NO	142	➡

38 **VOTING MATERIALS. ENGLISH ONLY.** Requires Governor urge federal officials amend law so that voting materials be printed in English only. Fiscal Impact: Insignificant.

YES	143	➡
NO	144	➡

39 **REAPPORTIONMENT.** Provides reapportioning Senate, Assembly, Congressional, Equalization districts for 1986 and subsequent elections by new commission composed of former justices. Fiscal Impact: Commission costs of up to \$3.5 million before 1986 election. Costs of \$10,000 to \$20,000 each to relocate an unknown number of district legislative offices. County costs of about \$500,000 for 1986 election materials. County savings of about \$300,000 in 1986 for printing costs, and \$200,000 every two years thereafter. Future reapportionments will probably cost less than under existing law.

YES	147	➡
NO	148	➡

40 **CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS.** Limits contributors and contributions to elective state office candidates. Opposition candidate's personal expenditures matched by limited public funding. Fiscal Impact: Reduce state revenues by about \$100,000 each fiscal year and increase state expenditures by approximately up to \$1,650,000 each fiscal year.

YES	151	➡
NO	152	➡

34601-ALL

4

GENERAL ELECTION
 County of Sacramento
 November 6, 1984

DISTRICT**SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT**

Director, Ward 1

Vote for One

ANN TAYLOR
 Incumbent

82 ➡